Appendix 1

Risk	At Risk	Current Risk Assessment						Planned	Risk After Mitigation	Date and
RISK		Pro	bability		Impact	Ris	k Score	Response/Mitigation		Owner
Policy Appraisal:-Not undertaken for a project ofsignificant scale- Poor Quality- Lack of consultation	Physical	2	Unlikely	4	Major	8	Medium Risk	Project Initiation Plans, Board Approval for new projects and impact assessment required for major projects.		PArtnership Director
Project Appraisal and Delivery: Incomplete or of poor quality Late Delivery	Reputational	5	Highly Probable	5	Catastrophic	25	High Risk	Monthly monitoring and management intervention by the project officer, and over- seen by the Head of Programmes	The risk is now	July 2017 Head of Programmes
Digital/IT: - Server failure - Comms failure e.g. phones - - - RTPI - website	People	2	Unlikely	4	Major	8	Medium Risk	Management Plan for Business Continuity, clearly available in the office and remotely. Wesbite has a maintenence contract as does RTPI system, which		Business Manager
Reputation:-Project failureSocialMedia hacked and inapproriatecommentsLack of brandawareness	Reputational	3	Possible	4	Major	12	Medium Risk	Social media passwords are high security; focussed brand enhancement work is undertaken, project as regularly monitored via	The risk is now	1/1/2001 SEStran
Statutory Duties: - Fail to comply with statutory duties and legallly challenged - Impact on accounts and statement of governance	Legal and Regulatory	2	Unlikely	5	Catastrophic	10	Medium Risk	Monthly monitoring and management intervention by the project officer, and over- seen by the Partnership Director. We have a published	The risk is now	September 2017 PArtnership Director

Appendix 1

Financial: Significant deviation from budgeted spend	Financial	1	Remote	2	Minor	2	Low Risk	Budget and spend monitored by CEC Accountants, in dialogue with SEStran. CEC reports to PErformance and Audit Committee & Board,	The risk is now	September 2017 PArtnership Director
 HR: - Pension Liabilities Redundancy Contingency Inappropiate Behaviour Staffing/Incapacity 	People	2	Unlikely	5	Catastrophic	10	Medium Risk	HR policies and procedures have a regular review cycle, policy training and code of conduct are given to all members at indcution, staffing levels and workload are monitored by senior	The risk is now	July 2017 Partnership Director
Other/Misc: Regi onal Transport Strategy is not implemented	i Strategic	4	Probable	5	Catastrophic	20	High Risk	Regular monitoring being developed, lobbying for funding, inputs to NTS2 and STPR	High Risk	PArtnership Director
Removal of SEStran/RTPs	Governance	1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk	Lobbying for RTPs through NTS2	The risk is now	1/1/2001 SEStran
	Governance	4	Probable	5	Catastrophic	20	High Risk			
		1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk			

Appendix 1

1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk
1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk
1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk
1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk
1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk
1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk
1	Remote	1	Insignificant	1	Low Risk