



INTEGRATED MOBILITY FORUM 10:00AM FRIDAY 27TH APRIL 2018

Present:

Cllr Lesley Macinnes(Chair) City of Edinburgh Council
Cllr Gordon Edgar Scottish Borders Council

Jim Grieve (JG) SEStran
Elizabeth Forbes (EF) SEStran

Peter Forsyth (PF) East Lothian Council

Karl Vanters (KV) Midlothian Council

Catherine Hall (CH) Network Rail

Robbie Yates (RY) Scottish Borders Council

Lesley Deans (LD) Clackmannanshire Council

Gordon McGregor EST

Gavin Booth (GB)

Bus Users

Caroline Connolly (CC) Transport Scotland

Keith Finlay (KF)

Lothian Buses

David Smith (DS)

Viaqqio (part of EST group)

Shauna Clarke (SC)

City of Edinburgh Council

City of Edinburgh Council

Matt Davis (MD) Sustrans Scotland

Graeme Johnston (GJ) Scottish Borders Council

Peter Jackson (PJ) SEStran

Alex Robb (AR) Sustrans Scotland

Mike Harrison (MH) SATA

Gordon Mansen (GM) Energy Saving Trust

Robert Samson (RS) Transport Focus

Gary Bell (GB) Paths for All

Keith Stark (KS) Enterprise

Morag Haddow (MH) East Lothian Council

Stuart McNeil (SM) Traveline Scotland

Barry Turner (BT)

Cllr Chris Horne (CH) West Lothian Council





John Scott (JS) First Group

Cllr David Key (DK) City of Edinburgh Council

Moira Nelson (MN) SEStran

Lisa Freeman (LF) SEStran

Jane Findlay (JF) Fife Council

Karl Vanters (KV) Midlothian Council

Catherine Hall (CH) Network Rail

William Dove (WD) NHS Fife

Des Bradley (DB) Scotrail

Cllr Laura Murtagh Falkirk Council

Apologies:

Graham Meiklejohn First Group

Gordon Mungall SEStran Board Observer

John Yellowlees Scotrail

Fiona Collie Falkirk Council

James Fullarton Scottish Borders Council

Doreen Steele

Scot Prentice Scotrail



| Ref. | | Actions |
|------|---|---------|
| 1. | Welcome and Introductions | |
| 1.1 | The chair welcomed the attendees and gave an overview of the new forum which was formed through the merging of the previous Sustainable, Rail, Bus and Air Forums. | |
| | | |
| 2. | Forum Aims and Objectives | |
| 2.1 | The attendees, who were seated at four different tables were then provided with questions for discussion. The chair allowed 30 minutes for the individual tables to work through the question topics, before feeding back comments to the Forum. | |
| 2.2 | The question topics were as follows: | |
| | 1. What outcomes or deliverables would you like to see form the Forum? | |
| | Current examples in the draft terms of reference: | |
| | News updates via digital platforms Strategy development and input into NTS 2 (National Transport Strategy 2) | |
| | Review of Travel Planning activity within the Region Refresh of the Regional Cycle Network Study Travelknowhow Scotland Uptake and Promotion | |
| | 2. What format would you like information to be shared? | |
| | What existing formats work for you? What channels should we avoid? Should we consider social media channels? | |
| | 3. What points should be included in the Terms of Reference? | |
| | Current examples in the draft terms of reference: | |
| | Provide a consultative role to Transport Scotland and seek funding to support schemes in line with the Forum Vision Develop an understanding of the opportunities to improve integrated mobility To collect and maintain information relating to regional transport | |
| | network; To explore and promote the increased use of non-car based transport | |
| | To work through partnerships to resolve passenger/commuter transport problems and avoid new ones arising, To enable implementation of initiatives emerging from our EU funded research and development projects; and To share information and methodologies with stakeholders and other Forume and Equalities/Healthcare groups and the Pasilient | |
| | other Forums e.g. Equalities/Healthcare groups and the Resilient Economic Growth Forum | |
| | 4. What future topics should we consider for the Forum? | |



| | Current examples in the draft terms of reference: | |
|---------|---|--|
| | 5 . n | |
| | Public Transport and Integration | |
| | Active Travel Promotion and Behavioural Change | |
| | Mobility as a Service | |
| 2.3 | RS, BT, PJ and MC acted as spokespersons for their tables and fed | |
| 2.5 | back the following comments in relation to the questions posed: | |
| | back the fellowing comments in relation to the queetient poods. | |
| | Question 1. | |
| | The groups agreed with the current examples in the draft terms | |
| | of reference in relation to the question. | |
| | NTS 2 aligned with the Regional Transport Strategy. | |
| | Making integration seamless. | |
| | Regional based projects – scope for the forum to be involved as | |
| | sub-groups. | |
| | Looking at advancements in digital interfacing and technology. Pasidostial planting to appropriate and the property and | |
| | Residential planning to ensure smooth transport routes/options. The surface of the second of t | |
| | Ensuring that accessibility is maximised. Travel planning for hydrogens. | |
| | Travel planning for businesses. Sharing best practices with level outborities. | |
| | Sharing best practices with local authorities.Electric bikes | |
| | Benefits of having mobility as a service. | |
| | Deficites of flaving mobility as a service. | |
| 2.4 | Question 2. | |
| | Email (preference) | |
| | SharePoint | |
| | How do we disseminate information from the Forum to the | |
| | public? | |
| | Information from stakeholders is essential – what projects are | |
| | ongoing and how they best relate to each other. | |
| | How should we advertise SEStran to the public? | |
| | Social media | |
| | TV promotion | |
| | Is a 6-month format too infrequent? Take and format too infrequent? | |
| | Teleconferencing | |
| 2.5 | Question 3. | |
| 2.5 | The table agreed with the bullet points already associated with | |
| | the question, however, the points might be too broad/all | |
| | encompassing. It would be beneficial to have more focused | |
| | points in the Terms of Reference, to ensure that the Forum is | |
| | having the desired impact. | |
| | Addressing issues in rural communities. | |
| | Initiate an exemplar pilot that can be shared amongst | |
| | stakeholders. | |
| | Supporting local authorities. | |
| 2.0 | Overtion 4 | |
| 2.6 | Question 4. | |
| | The table agreed with the bullet points associated with the question. | |
| <u></u> | ๆนธิงแบบ. | |



| | Low emission zones | |
|-----|--|----|
| | Air quality | |
| | Accessibility | |
| | Emerging technology | |
| | Public transport operators engaging in data sharing. | |
| | | |
| 2.7 | The chair then asked LF to summarise the group responses. | |
| | The key points of LF's summary are listed below: | |
| | How do we input to policy? | |
| | Sub-groups to engage with consultations. | |
| | Best practice – exemplar projects. | |
| | Keeping things digital – exploration of knowledge hub as a | |
| | platform. | |
| | Teleconferencing facilities for those unable to attend the Forum. | |
| | Terms of Reference - "Seamless journey, regardless of mode" | |
| | | |
| | Technology Technology | |
| | Presenting good practice examples. | |
| | IC added that although CECtron no languar have individual for upo for | |
| | JG added that although SEStran no longer have individual forums for | |
| | bus and rail, delegates are welcome to request items for upcoming | |
| | agendas that relate to these specific modes. | |
| • | Discussion Toxis A. Edinburgh Land Transport Office and Land | |
| 3. | Discussion Topic 1 – Edinburgh Local Transport Strategy, Low Emission Zones and City Centre Transformation | |
| 3.1 | SC and GM presented the above (on behalf of Steven Murrell). | |
| | | |
| 3.2 | The presentation covered the 3 major projects being undertaken by the | |
| | spatial policy team at CEC. | |
| | | |
| 3.3 | SC and GM then took some questions regarding the 3 projects. Issues | |
| | were raised in relation to retrofitting. | |
| | | |
| 4. | Discussion Topic 2 – Bus/Train interface issues – a round table discussion | |
| 4.1 | The chair invited any transport operators to start a room wide discussion | |
| | based on topics of interest. DB initiated the discussion, by discussing | |
| | current service enhancements in the SEStran area and upcoming cark | |
| | park expansions at various stations. | |
| | | |
| 4.2 | A lengthy discussion then followed regarding accessibility and integrated | |
| | mobility at stations. | |
| | | |
| 5. | Next Meeting | |
| 5.1 | The chair rounded up and reviewed the discussions. | |
| | · | |
| 5.2 | The chair requested that LF collate the key topics and priorities | LF |
| 0.2 | generated through the discussions and send them out alongside the | |
| | minutes. These key topics and priorities will help shape the agenda for | |
| | the next meeting. | |
| | and meaning. | |
| | | |





5.3 The date for the next meeting is yet to be scheduled, but this will be held in October 2018.

